

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The Vision is brilliant - it's the implimentation that's at fault.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It's soundness is in question because it does not take into account effective consultation with the People who live here. They don't want their green spaces destroyed. This plan ignores those views
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There is a legal requirement to ensure we have clean air. Building houses on green spaces will reduce air quality, which has already been proven to be low.</p> <p>Our physical and mental wellbeing has been clearly demonstrated to be linked to the accessibility to green spaces. Building on green spaces will cause a deterioration in the health of the population, hence causing more strain on our health services.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Adapt the plans to exempt green spaces from planning.</p> <p>In the light of the climate crisis, we can not destroy any of our green spaces</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Boosting Northern Competitiveness is a fantastic aim to have. However economic gain should not be at the sacrifice of public health. Destroying green spaces will make our air quality even lower than it is already, thus impinging on people's health and the ability of the NHS to provide care. It is not only physical health that will become worse. People's mental health is also linked very tightly to their ability to access green spaces for "nature bathing" as well as exercise.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove all green spaces from the plans and make them permanently exempt from any future building
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The release of Green Belt to facilitate economic growth is not acceptable. All Green Belt land must be protected
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the</b>	Remove the clause requiring the release of Green Belt land for building

<b>modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This policy discriminates positively in favour of the south areas of Manchester, reducing the impact of building plans on the "leafy" neighbourhoods. Why isn't the "distinctive local neighbourhood character and environmental attractiveness" of the NORTHEN areas similarly protected?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Get the south areas to bear their full share of the building plans
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I do not believe that these policies will be upheld. They will be over ridden by the economic needs of the planning departments. Yes - I totally agree with the statement "This will enable our residents to access and maximise the benefits of green infrastructure on their health and wellbeing." should be an aim, but I very much doubt whether it will take precedence over the construction industry.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Give these policies more "teeth". They are all very good as ideals, but I don't believe that they will be fulfilled
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	Whilst I appreciate that GM has to accept the HS2 plans imposed by central government, I can raise my hands to say that this is another total disaster for the environment. By all means improve transport links across and within the region, and plan to improve intercity links, but that does not have to come

<p><b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>at the horrendous costs that HS2 is incurring. I was under the impression that the Northern Powerhouse was a dead duck</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Agitate for the cancellation of HS2</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Ettrick</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Laura</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287069</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JP-S 1 Sustainable Development</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>I am worried by the sentence "Preference will be given to using previously-developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs." This implies that green belt development is not excluded.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Add to "Preference will be given to using previously-developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs.".....and Green Belt land will be excluded.  THEN make sure that the slimey souls don't get to de-classify green belt land!!!</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Ettrick</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 4 Resilience
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	These policies al all great! I applaud the aims, however I have servere doubts as to their outcome. I don"t believe that planning to build on existing flood plains is in accordance with these policies, nor is it going to encorage biodiversity and help wildlife thrive.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Make sure that the plans do not allow these objectives to be marginalised by the need to provide economic growth
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	These will end up being overuled. Throwing the bay out with the bath water
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The truth is that nobody really believes that PfE can keep all of these promises
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	All good.....but Let's admit it. It's never going to be put into practice or effectively policed. The construction companies wil bulldoze through the plans they want to do and pay a paltry fine for contrvening these rules
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	Make sure that these policies and strctily enforced. Demand that any plans comply and that paying a fine for breaking the rules is not an option

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Although the overall policy is laudable, I have doubts about how it will be implemented.</p> <p>It appears that construction companies want to build 4 &amp; 5 bedroomed homes, but what we need is 2 &amp; 3 bedroomed houses. I am very worried that although they pay lip service to what the Council needs, they are able to pay the meager fines that they are given when they build what they want and not what they were given permission to build (Pun intended)</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Police the planning much more strictly to ensure that homes that are needed ARE built, as opposed to what the construction companies want to build. It makes me think why the Council don't build the homes we need themselves??
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Whistling in the dark comes to mind. It is all well and good having all this in the plan. We all know very well that this is never going to happen
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Local people want house. Yes! we are agree that. But at what cost? And why aren't these house being built? We all know the reason for that.....fat cats
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	Oh I do hope this will happen

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I don't believe that this will happen
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	"Praise the lord and pass the biscuits" How can so many expert people be involved in so much complex work surveying all these areas, then for their work to be trashed?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Listen to the experts , not to the builders
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I don't believe that the PfE plans for development of industry and housing gives a fig for these lofty objectives
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Listen to the experts, not the builders
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Everything has shown that the drive for economic growth trumps all other policies, aims and objectives.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	When will we learn that we can't eat money?
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I worry a great deal about the impact of climate change. I applaud these aims and think they will aid the slow down of global warming. However I am not convinced that the Greater Manchester 9 can carry out these in the face of central government policies to BUILD, BUILD, BUILD
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	I am loosing the will to live.....

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 5 Uplands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Yes, yes, yes but it's pie in the sky unless you can keep the pig out of the trough
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Big business will do what they want :(
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am now weeping at the complecity of all of this.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	How can the individual hope to express views in such a complex issue?
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Clap, clap,clap - all good.But all fruitless in my opinion
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Let's face it. It's all about money. The Councils have been starved of cash and are on their knees. I have direct experience of how that has impinged on the maintenance of parks and green spaces.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Demand a bigger share of the money from central government for Greater Manchester
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	As a birdwatcher I am very concerned about the flora and fauna in Greater Manchester. I have witnessed the astonishing drop in bird life across the area in recent years. I can't believe that building on our green spaces will do anything to reverse that trend.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Do not build on green belt. Don't re-classify green belt land in order to get round this
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	It's all there, but..... I don't believe that P4E will not use green belt land. They will find a loop hole and get round it somehow.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	So many people are disillusioned by these plans. We have seen it in GMSF and P4E is no different

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This policy does not hold water. There is no measure as to what would be an acceptable reason for safeguarded land to be released. It will be too easy to circumvent
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Toughen this up and give it more teeth. Do not allow economic arguments to sway the decisions
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Places for People has not been shown to be legally sound. It can not be assumed that because GMSF had attained this, that PfE will inherit that.</p> <p>It is unsound to infill a green space that is already surrounded by urbanisation. This denies the nature of the term "Greenbelt"</p> <p>PfE fails to comply with the duty to co-operate as it has relied too much on computer literacy.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Listen to the elctorate when they tell you that they do not want Elton to be built on.
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	<p>Bury GBA03 Pigs Lea Brook 1</p> <p>Bury GBA04 North of Nuttall Park</p> <p>Bury GBA05 Pigs Lea Brook 2</p> <p>Bury GBA06 Hollins Brook</p> <p>Bury GBA07 Off New Road, Radcliffe</p> <p>Bury GBA08 Hollins Brow</p> <p>Bury GBA09 Hollybank Street, Radcliffe</p> <p>Bury GBA10 Crow Lumb Wood</p> <p>Bury GBA11 Nuttall West, Ramsbottom</p> <p>Bury GBA12 Woolfold, Bury</p> <p>Bury GBA13 Nuttall East, Ramsbottom</p> <p>Bury GBA14 Chesham, Bury</p> <p>Bury GBA15 Broad Hey Wood North</p> <p>Bury GBA16 Lower Hinds</p>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	So adding some more greenspaces will mean you can trash others? That's not how it works!!!! We all know what has happened. Green Stockport has pulled out of GMSF and you think you can palm us off with a few more outgrown corners scraped together to make up the difference.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	This is bribery. P4E is playing the numbers game. This is not fair. I object strongly
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JPA 8: Seedfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to</b>	The release of Green Belt to facilitate economic growth is not acceptable. All Green Belt land must be protected

<b>comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove the clause requiring the release of Green Belt land for building
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The release of Green Belt to facilitate economic growth is not acceptable. All Green Belt land must be protected
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove the clause requiring the release of Green Belt land for building
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The release of Green Belt to facilitate economic growth is not acceptable. All Green Belt land must be protected
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove the clause requiring the release of Green Belt land for building
<b>Family Name</b>	Ettrick
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1287069
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>The release of Green Belt to facilitate economic growth is not acceptable. All Green Belt land must be protected</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Remove the clause requiring the release of Green Belt land for building</p>